



**GLOBAL NEXUS JOURNAL OF
MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH
(GNJMR)**

REVIEWER FORM

B. REVIEW RESULT SHEET FOR OPINION/THEORETICAL PAPERS

Double-blind Review Form

Thank you for agreeing to be a reviewer. We are keen to ensuring a high standard of articles published in **GLOBALNEXUS JOURNAL**.

The manuscript that is being sent to you has been submitted after a first selection process based on the agreement of the Editors. To this end, we would be grateful if you would, wherever possible, provide constructive feedback to enable the author(s) improve the manuscript before publication. Please complete the table below and rate the article on the issues described. As with all double-blind reviewing, any comments you make will be passed to the authors on an anonymous basis. As we strive to provide feedback/comments to authors within 2 weeks of submission, please try to complete the review within that time.

PAPER

TITLE: _____

Paper No: _____

Please rate the following items objectively. Take note of the Maximum score for each of the items rated

S/N	Criteria for Scoring	Author Score	Maximum Score (%)
1.	Title/topic (Relevance, current and researchable)		5%
2.	Abstract (clarity, coverage of the entire paper, and use of appropriate keywords)		5%
3.	Introduction (coherence, logical flow, clarity, articulate, precise, and use of recent citations)		15%
4.	Justification for the Study (well captured either in the introduction or as a sub-heading)		5%
5.	Coverage (depth, originality of argumentation, coherence and logical flow)		20%
6.	Use of Standard and Correct of English (Consistent, avoidance of grammatical errors)		5%
7.	Use of relevant literature and theories to support the write up		10%
8.	Writing quality (clarity, coherence, and organization of the writing)		10%
9.	Conclusion and Recommendations (Clear, logical and precise)		5%
10.	References and Citations (use of relevant and recent literature, referencing all cited works, use of APA 7 th Edition citations and referencing style)		10%
11.	Overall Contribution (originality and contribution to theoretical or practical understanding)		10%
	Total Maximum Score		100%

- 80 – 100% = Excellent,
- 70-79 = Very Good
- 60- 69 = Good
- 50- 59 = Fair
- 40 – 49 = Poor
- 0 - 39 = Very Poor

Decision regarding the paper (Indicate by ticking)

- Accept the paper in its current format
- Accept the paper with minor changes
- Accept the paper with major changes
- Resubmit paper for second review after effecting major changes
- Decline the submission

General Comments/Observation: _____

For Official Use Only

Name of the Reviewer _____ Phone Number _____

Institution/Affiliation _____

Email _____

Signature _____ Date _____